

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/347,525	07/06/1999	MASAHARU OKU	103778	3980
25944	7590 11/01/2002			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXANDR	928 MA, VA 22320	KNABLE, GEOFFREY L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	10
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11 /K - C
7 4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	 2
Advisory Action	09/347,525	OKU ET AL.	
Advisory Addon	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Geoffrey L. Knable	1733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	op ars on the cover sheet w	vith th correspond nc address -	-
THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of the control of timely filed amendr peal (with appeal fee); or	nis application. A proper reply to ment which places the application	a n in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	. p)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date se or than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding and the corresponding and the correct the correc	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as	fee under set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 September 20</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).			rth in
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require full	rther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	te below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appea	al by materially reducing or simpli	fying the
(d) they present additional claims without can NOTE:	celing a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	jection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitt	ed in a separate, timely filed ame	endment
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ the place the application in condition for all 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered the raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	owance because: See Cor	tinuation Sheet.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,8 and 13-15</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7 and 9	<u>-12</u> .		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)	
10. Other:			10
		Hyd h	
		Geoffrey C. Knable Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1733	

Continuation Sh et (PTO-303) 09/347,525

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: principally for the reasons of record in the last office action. Additionally, it is stressed that (1) Deist clearly and unambiguously discloses using extruders with controlled feed to form the strip (col. 7); and (2) any argument that the fig. 2 embodiment does not have or desire a gradual transition or that the feeds are only stopped and then started is contradicted by clear and unambiguous teachings to the contrary - note for example col. 2, lines 41-46, it being noted as well that the main objective of the reference is to achieve a gradual transition - note col. 1, lines 11-23; col. 2, lines 24-33 as well as the preamble of almost all the clams.